## 9:00 a.m. SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO ORDER DETERMINING DISPOSITION OF EX PARTE APPLICATION

| Case Name:   |                                      | Case Number:          |
|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Martinez vs. Catch W   | ave- Bu Blue Nami                    | 34-2015-00184485      |
| Type of Application: To Amend Preliminary Approval   | By:                                  | Application Date:     |
| of Class Action Order  | 11                                   | JUN 2 2 2022          |
| Names of Appearing Party:  | Representing:                        |                       |
| Erin Scharg  | TI - Victor Martin                   | 1ez 916-438-1819      |
|  |                                      |                       |
|  |                                      |                       |
| The Court, having considered the above entitled ex parte application with appearance as noted above, rules as follows: |                                      |                       |
| See attache d  |                                      |                       |
| ☐ The application is denied on the merits of the paper   | rs presented to the Court.           |                       |
| ☐ The application is denied without prejudice to its re  | esubmission for the following reason | n(s):                 |
| ☐ The moving party may not proceed except by notic ☐ Other   | ed motion.                           |                       |
|  |                                      |                       |
|  | ı                                    |                       |
|  |                                      |                       |
|  | ,                                    |                       |
|  |                                      | OR COURT ON           |
| ☐ Counsel for the  | is ordered to                        | prepare formal order. |
| JUN 2 2 2022   | M                                    |                       |
| DATE   | JUDGE OF                             | THE SUPERIOR COURT    |
|  | SHAM                                 | A H. MESIWALA         |

Matthew R. Eason, Esq. (SBN 160148) 1 JUN 22 2022 Kyle K. Tambornini, Esq. (SBN 160538) Erin M. Scharg, Esq. (SBN 285311) EASON & TAMBORNINI, ALC By:\_ J. Servantez 1234 H Street, Suite 200 Sacramento, CA 95814 3 Deputy Cle Telephone (916) 438-1819 Facsimile (916) 438-1820 Matthew@CapCityLaw.com 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff Victor Martinez III Individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated MURCHISON & CUMMING LLP 8 William D. Naeve, Esq. (SBN 92270) Robert H. Panman Esq (SBN 198411) 2175 N. California Blvd., Suite 900 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 10 Telephone: (415) 524-4300 Facsimile: (415) 391-2058 11 rpanman@murchisonlaw.com 12 Attorney for Defendant Catch a Wave by Blue Nami Inc. 13 14 15 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 16 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO 17 VICTOR MARTINEZ III, individually and on ) Case No. 34-2015-00184485 behalf of all others similarly situated. 18 CLASS ACTION Plaintiff, 19 PROPOSED AMENDED PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER 20 CATCH A WAVE BY BLUE NAMI, INC., a Date: October 12, 2021 21 California Corporation; Does 1-20 inclusive. Time: 1:30 p.m. Dept: 53 22 Defendants. Complaint Filed: September 18, 2015 23 24 25 WHEREAS, this action is pending before this Court as a putative class action (the " 26 Action"); and 27 WHEREAS, on October 12, 2021 this matter came before the Court on the Motion of 28

FILED/ENDORSED

Plaintiff Victor Martinez III for Preliminary Approval of the Class Action Settlement between and among Plaintiff and the Putative Class, on the one hand, and Catch a Wave By Blue Nami, Inc., (the "Defendant") on the other hand, all of whom are referred to herein as the "Parties."

WHEREAS, the Motion having come on for hearing before the above Court; the Court having reviewed and considered all documents, evidence and arguments presented by counsel in support of and opposition to said Motion; the Court being fully advised and good cause appearing, the Court entered its order and, subject to final determination by the Court as to the fairness, reasonableness and adequacy of the Settlement following Notice to the Class and a final fairness hearing, and upon receipt of the parties Ex Parte Application now finds and orders as follows:

The Stipulation and Settlement Agreement (the "Stipulation" or "Settlement") filed as Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Matthew R. Eason filed in support of this Motion and incorporated by reference herein, and the Settlement set forth therein, which, together with the exhibits annexed thereto, sets forth the terms and conditions for a proposed settlement, are preliminarily approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate, subject to a final fairness hearing to be held after Notice has been provided to the Class. NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. This Order incorporated by reference the definitions in the Stipulation, and all terms defined therein shall have the same meaning in this Order as set forth in the Stipulation.
- 2. It appears to the Court on a preliminary basis that the Settlement is fair, adequate and reasonable. It appears to the Court that extensive and costly investigation and research has been conducted such that counsel for the Parties, at this time, are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. It further appears to the Court that Settlement, at this time, will avoid substantial additional costs by all Parties, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by further prosecution of the Action. It further appears that the Settlement has bene reached as the result of intensive, scrious and non-collusive, arms-length negotiations.
  - 3. The Court preliminarily finds that the Stipulation appears to be within the range

of reasonableness of a settlement that could ultimately be given final approval by this Court. Indeed, the Court has reviewed the monetary recovery that is being granted as part of the Settlement and preliminarily finds that the monetary settlement awards made available to all Putative Class Members is fair, adequate and reasonable when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to liability and damages issues.

4. The Court hereby conditionally certifies the following Class for settlement purposes only:

All persons employed by Defendant as a server in California during the Class Period who do not properly exclude themselves from the terms of this Agreement. Class Period is defined as the period from September 18, 2011 to February 9, 2020.

Putative Class Members shall have the opportunity to request exclusion from the Settlement. Should for whatever reason the Settlement not become final, the fact that the Parties were willing to stipulate to certification of the Class as part of the Settlement shall have no bearing on, nor be admissible in connection with, the issue of whether a class should be certified in a non-settlement context.

- 5. Named Plaintiff Victor Martinez III is hereby preliminarily appointed and designated, for all purposes, as the representative for the Class and the law firm Eason & Tambornini, ALC are hereby preliminarily appointed and designated as counsel for the Named Plaintiff and Class ("Class Counsel").
- 6. Class Counsel is authorized to act on behalf of Class Members with respect to all acts or consents required by, or which may be given pursuant to, the Settlement, and such other acts reasonably necessary to consummate the Settlement. Any Class Member may enter an appearance through counsel of such individual's own choosing and at such individual's own expense. Any Class Member who does not enter an appearance or appear on his or her own will be represented by Class Counsel.
- 7. The Court hereby preliminarily approves the definition and disposition of the Settlement Amount and related matters provided for in the Settlement Agreement.

- 8. The Court hereby preliminarily approves the Attorney's Fees Award of up to \$50,000, the Attorney's Costs of up to \$2,000, Enhancement Award to the Class Representative of up to \$5,000 and Administration Costs of up to \$10,000.
- 9. A hearing (the "Settlement Hearing") shall be held before this Court on September 14, 2022 at 1:30 p.m. at the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of Sacramento, located at 813 6th Street, Second Floor, Sacramento, California 95814, Department 53 to determine all necessary matters concerning the Settlement, including: whether the proposed settlement of the action on the terms and conditions provided for in the Stipulation is fair, adequate and reasonable and should be finally approved by the Court; whether a judgment should be entered herein; whether the plan of allocation contained in the Stipulation should be approved as fair, adequate and reasonable to the Class Members; and to finally approve Class Counsel's Attorney's Fees and Attorneys' Costs Awards, the Incentive Award and Administration Costs.
- 10. The publication in the Sacramento Bee along with dissemination of the Class Notice and Claim Form as directed by this Order and as described in the Stipulation, constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances and sufficient notice to all Class Members. The contents of the Class Notice and the manner of its dissemination satisfy the requirements of Rule 3.769 of the California Rules of Court, other applicable California laws, and state and federal due process.
- 11. Pursuant to the Court's October 12, 2021 ruling Class Counsel shall create a website for this settlement in which all documents filed with the court shall be accessible to the class members at the stated and listed address.
- 12. The Court hereby appoints ILYM Group Inc., as Claims Administrator and hereby directs the Claims Administrator to mail or cause to be mailed to Class Members the Notice and Claim Form by first class mail within 30 days after receipt from Defendant of the class data, which data shall be delivered to ILYM Group Inc., by Defendant no later than 21 days after the entry of this Preliminary Order using the procedures set forth in the Stipulation.

Defendant shall be required to provide the contact information for the Class Members that is available to it. Since Defendant does not have the contact information for all Class Members, the Court hereby directs the Claims Administrator, to create a single publication in the Sacramento Bee. In addition, the Claims Administrator will create a sign to be hung on Defendant's door alerting Class Members to the Settlement and providing instructions on how to participate. Class members who wish to participate in the settlement as provided in the Stipulation must complete and return the Claim Form pursuant to the instructions contained therein by first class mail or equivalent within 45 days of the mailing of the Notice.

- 13. Any Class member may choose to be excluded from the Settlement as provided in the Notice by following the instructions for requesting exclusion from the Settlement that are set forth in the Notice. Any such person who chooses to be excluded from the Settlement will not be entitled to any recovery under the Settlement and will not be bound by the Settlement or have any right to object, appeal or comment thereon. Any written request for exclusion must be signed by such person requesting exclusion. Class members who have not requested exclusion shall be bound by all determinations of this Court, by the Stipulation and by final judgment. In the event the number of individuals who opt to be excluded from the Settlement exceeds five percent (5%) of the total Class members, Defendant shall have the right to terminate the Settlement.
- 14. Any Class member may appear at the Final Approval Hearing and may object to or express their views regarding the Settlement, and may present evidence and file briefs or other papers, that may be proper and relevant to the issues to be heard and determined by the court as provided in the Notice. However, no Class member or any other person shall be entitled to object, and no papers or briefs submitted by any such person shall be received or considered by the Court, unless on or before 45 days after the mailing of the Notice that person has served by hand or by first class mail written objection and copies of any papers and briefs in support of their position and verification of their membership in the Class upon: (1) Matthew Eason, Eason & Tambornini, ALC 1234 H Street, Sacramento, CA 95814; (2) Robert Panman, Murchison &

Cumming LLP, 2175 N. California Blvd., Suite 900, Walnut Creek, CA 94596; and (3) the Claims Administrator, ILYM Group Inc., re Catch a Wave by Blue Nami, Inc. Settlement, 15331 Barranca Parkway, Irvine, CA 92618, and filed the objections, papers and briefs with the clerk of this Court. In order to be valid, the papers must be filed with the Clerk of this Court and served on all of the above on or before 45 days after the mailing of the Notice. Objections must identify the correct department, Department 53 as well as the date and time of the Final Approval Hearing, September, 2022 at 1:30 p.m. Any Class member who does not make his or her objection in the manner provided for in this Order shall be deemed to have waived such objection and shall forever be foreclosed from making any objection to the Settlement.

- appear remotely. Remote appearances must be made by Zoom which includes telephonic or video options. For telephonic appearances the Zoom call-in number is (888) 475-4499 and the Zoom ID is 841 204 6267: For video appearances, the Zoom link is https://saccourt-ca-qov.zoomgov.com/my/sscdept53.54.
- 16. All papers in support of the Settlement shall be filed with the court and served on the Parties' Counsel no later than five (5) court days before the Final Approval Hearing.
- 17. To the extent permitted by law, pending final determination as to whether the settlement contained in the Stipulation should be approved, the Class members, whether directly, representatively, or in any other capacity, and whether or not such persons have appears in the action, shall not institute or prosecute any claim released in the Stipulation against the Released Parties.
- 18. If the Settlement is finally approved, the Court shall enter a final judgment approving the Settlement, which shall be binding on the Parties, including the Participating Class Members.
- The Settlement is not a concession or admission, and shall not be used against Catch a Wave by Blue Nami, Inc., or any Released Parties as an admission or indication with respect to any claim or any fault or omission by Catch a Wave by Blue Nami, Inc., or any of the

20.

action shall be stayed, other than those pertaining to the administration of the Settlement of the action.

21. In the event the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the

As of the date this Order is signed, all dates and deadlines associated with the

- 21. In the event the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation, or the Settlement is not finally approved, or is terminated, canceled or fails to become effective for any reason, this Order shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated, and the Parties shall revert to their respective positions before entering into the Stipulation.
- 22. The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the date of the Final Approval Hearing and all dates provided for in the Stipulation without further notice to the Class members, and retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the proposed Settlement.

Dated: 6/22/22

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

SHAMA H. MESIWALA